

# McMillan Pass Airport LiDAR and Airphoto Data Capture and Processing

Our File: 2611 19372-04

### Submitted to:

Highways and Public Works | Transportation Aviation Branch | W-16 T 867-455-2883 | Yukon.ca

And

Yukon Geomatics

Submitted By: McElhanney Ltd. 200-858 Beatty Street Vancouver, BC V6B 1C1

Tel: (604) 424 4784

Contact: Azadeh Koohzare, PhD., PEng.

September 25, 2020

# **Table of Contents**

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Mission Plan	3
3.	Equipment	
4.	Flight Plan	5
5.	Data Processing	7
6.	Point Density	7
7.	Calibration	7
8.	Quality Control	8
9.	Deliverables	9
List o	f Figures and Tables	
Figure	1 Aerial survey	. 4
Table 1	1 Flight Parameters	-6

#### 1. Introduction

McElhanney Ltd performed a LiDAR and aerial photography acquisition for Macmillan Pass airport in eastern Yukon. See figure 1.

The site was flown July 10<sup>th</sup>, 2019. This report describes the acquisition, post-processing and quality control methodology used to produce the LiDAR deliverables.

## 2. Mission Plan

Project: McMillan Pass Airport LiDAR and Aerial photo.

**Date:** 2019-07-10

**Location:** Macmillan Pass Project, Yukon. **Topography:** Mixed, mountains and low relief



Figure 1- LiDAR Survey Site

# 3. Equipment

McElhanney utilized the Optech Galaxy system for LiDAR Capture (Figure 2). For Product Specifications of Optech Galaxy please see

## http://www.teledyneoptech.com/index.php/product/optech-altm-galaxy/

The Galaxy was mounted on Piper Navajo fixed wing Aircraft.



Figure 2 – Optech Galaxy components

On Board Camera Phase One iXU-RS1000 RGB simultaneous capture (Figure 3.)

# Phase One Industrial – Cameras iXU-R\$1000 series



iXU-RS1000 series

Camera Type	iXU-RS1000					
Camera Specifications						
Lens type	Rodenstock / Schneider-Kreuznach					
Focal length F (mm)	RS lenses: 32, 40, 50, 70, 90, 110, 150					
rocai length r (mm)	SK lenses: 28, 55, 80, 110, 150, 240					
FOV (across line, deg)	86.5 (28mm) - 12.9 (240mm)					
FOV (along flight line, deg)	70.3 (28mm) - 9.7 (240mm)					
Aperture	f/5.6					
Exposure principle	Leaf shutter					
Exposure (sec)	1/2000 to 1/125					
Image capture rate	1 frame every 0.6 sec					
Light Sensitivity (ISO)	50-6400					
Dynamic Range (db)	>84					
Spectral characteristics	R,G,B					
Sensor	Specifications					
CMOS pixel size (µm)	4.6					
CMOS array (pix)	11,608 x 8,708					
Analog-to-digital-conversion (bit)	14					
Frame / Im	nage Specifications					
Frame geometry	Central projection					
Image size (pixel)	11,608 x 8,708					
Image volume (MP)	100					
Color	RGB or NIR					
Typical image size (MB)	300					
Image format	Phase One RAW, TIFF, JPEG					
Operation	nal Specifications					
Power Consumption	< 10W					
Dimensions (depends on lens)	97.4 x 93 x <218 mm					
Weight (depends on lens)	< 2 kg					



Figure 3 – Phase One Camera Series

# 4. Flight Plan

 Table 1: Flight Parameters- 2019-07-10a

Strip	Start [s]	Stop [s]	PRF	Scan	Scan	Speed	Height
ID			[kHz]	Frequency	Swath	Avg	Avg [m]
				[Hz]	[deg]	[m/s]	
1	323061.2	323464	402.8	400	73	42	81
2	323574.5	324055.7	481.2	400	73	42	69.6
3	324155.1	324598	442.9	400	73	42	75.4
4	324702	325203.7	501.7	400	73	42	72.3
5	325308.7	325764.7	456	400	73	42	79.9
6	325886.4	326390	503.6	400	73	42	72.2
7	326492.8	326943.5	450.7	400	73	42	79.8
8	327057.8	327545.5	487.7	400	73	42	73.9
9	327658.8	328097.1	438.3	400	73	42	81.2
10	328279.5	328733.6	454.1	400	73	42	75
11	328860.1	329287.1	427	400	73	42	78.6
12	329502.2	329947	444.8	400	73	42	75.5
13	330058.4	330478	419.6	400	73	42	80.7
14	330761.3	331197.7	436.4	400	73	42	76.6
15	331327.8	331782.9	455.1	400	73	42	76.1
16	331917.7	332357.8	440.1	400	73	42	78.1
17	332488.9	332942.1	453.2	400	73	42	76.2
18	333051.7	333510.5	458.8	400	73	42	76.6
19	333642.5	334137.7	495.2	400	73	42	71.8
20	334253.8	334740.6	486.8	400	73	42	74.1
21	334914.6	335161.6	246.9	400	73	42	79.6

 Table 2: Flight Parameters- 2019-07-10b

Strip	Start [s]	Stop [s]	PRF	Scan	Scan	Speed	Height
ID			[kHz]	Frequency	Swath	Avg	Avg [m]
				[Hz]	[deg]	[m/s]	
1	347778.5	347897.5	119.1	400	73	42	82.2
2	348068.8	348196.2	127.4	400	73	42	71.9
3	348257.3	348379.2	121.8	400	73	42	79.4
4	348462.6	348590.1	127.5	400	73	42	71.6
5	348656.8	348779.6	122.8	400	73	42	81.2
6	348862.1	348923.8	61.7	400	73	42	78.4

**Table 3:** Flight Parameters- 2019-07-10c

Strip	Start [s]	Stop [s]	PRF	Scan	Scan	Speed	Height
ID			[kHz]	Frequency	Swath	Avg	Avg [m]
				[Hz]	[deg]	[m/s]	
1	350870.7	350994.4	123.7	400	73	42	79.7
2	351073.2	351187.6	114.4	400	73	42	77.4
3	351251.5	351378.9	127.5	400	73	42	77.4
4	351473.6	351578.7	105	400	73	42	78.6
5	351665.9	351792.4	126.5	400	73	42	75
6	351890.8	351991.2	100.4	400	73	42	81.6
7	352097.1	352195.6	98.5	400	73	42	74.5
8	352298.7	352375.7	77	400	73	42	68.8
9	352497.5	352567.1	69.6	400	73	42	74.7
10	352700	352781.7	81.7	400	73	42	74.7

5. **Data Processing** 

All GPS and IMU data were processed using PosPac MMS 8.4 software. The laser data

was extracted using Teledyne Optech LMS software. The GPS antenna position in the

airplane was calculated by post-processing the raw data at 1 second intervals for the

entire flight.

We have used both DGPS and Precise Point Positioning (PPP) data for the airborne

GPS processing, and the coordinates were calculated in NAD83-CSRS. As Underhill

Geomatics staff reported that there were interruptions in DGPS data collection, we used

available DGPS data to corroborate data processed using PPP.

The airborne positions were combined with the post-processed platform (aircraft)

attitude information to generate a time tagged position and orientation solution.

The standard deviation of the airborne GPS solution for using KAR (Kinematics

Ambiguity Resolution) was estimated to be 0.03, 0.04 and 0.05m in East, North and

height directions, respectively.

The estimated values for the GPS antenna position were used with the laser ranges and

platform angles to compute all the individual X, Y, and Z coordinates for each laser

return in each flight line. The result is a processed point cloud containing all measured

points.

6. **Point Density** 

Bare earth point density varies with canopy closure, understory density and topographic

features. The mean density of the point cloud was measured at nominal 13.35 pts/m²

and the Bare earth point density was measured at nominal 3.26 pts/m<sup>2</sup>.

7. Calibration

System: Optech ALTM Galaxy S/N 5060392

LiDAR Calibration flight:

7

Calibration Date: June 14, 2019 Location: Whitehorse, Yukon

The LiDAR system calibration was flown over calibration site. The lever arms (offset

between GPS antenna IMU and Laser Mirror), were measured as:

Lever Arms

GPS Lever arms in (m):

x: 0.28 y: -0.445 z: -1.196

IMU Lever arms in (m):

x: 0 y: 0 z: 0

There were a total number of 10 flight lines for calibration: 9 basic orthogonal lines for

LMS software analysis and 1 redundant line for better accuracy. The lines were planned

as follow:

Flight line direction: 3 lines north – south and 3 lines east – west and 1-line NW-SE

All GPS with IMU data was processed using PosPac Applanix software v.8.3. and the

laser data was extracted using LMS v.4.3 The GPS antenna position in the airplane was

calculated by post-processing the raw data at 1 second intervals for the entire flight.

The calibration values used for this project are as follows:

imu\_ex: 0.049404867 arcsec

imu ey: -0.062994531 arcsec

imu ez: -0.131591982 arcsec

8. **Quality Control** 

The LiDAR data consistencies have been checked between the flight lines using

Terrascan software. As per the work authorization all survey control data was provide by

the client. Survey control was established by Underhill Geomatics Ltd.

Comparison of Bare Earth LiDAR data with Ground Survey Values

8

According to ASPRS guidelines, the vertical accuracy of LiDAR is as follows:

$$RMSE_z = Sqrt[\sum (Z_{Lidar(i)} - Z_{check(i)})^2 / n] = 0.03 \text{ m}$$

Where the "Check" refers to the ground truth (In this project, we used survey points which are at least three times more accurate than the individual LiDAR points) and *n* is the number of check points. LiDAR 2019 was checked vs ground survey points. A total of 801 ground check points were used for this analysis.

Average dz +0.003 m

Minimum dz -0.094 m

Maximum dz +0.098 m

Average magnitude 0.025 m

Root mean square 0.032 m

Std deviation 0.032 m

#### 9. Deliverables

Final output data is provided in NAD83CSRS UTM 9 and the elevations are based on CGVD28 HT2 geoid model. The deliverables include:

- Bare Earth & Thinned model key points in las, xyz
- Non Bare Earth in las format
- Index map
- 20 cm Orthophto
- Technical report